केन्द्रीय सड़क निधि से गुजरात को दी गई धनराशि

615. श्री गोपाल सिंह जी. सोलंकी: क्या जल-भूतल परिवहन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) गुजरात की सरकार को केन्द्रीय सड़क निधि से चालू वित्तीय वर्ष और आगामी वित्तीय वर्ष के दौरान कितनी धनराशि दी गई थी; और

(ख) उन योजनाओं का ब्यौरा क्या है जिनमें इस धनराशि का उपयोग किया गया और शेष बची धनराशि का ब्यौरा क्या है?

जल-भूतल परिवहन मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री एम. राजशेखर मूर्ति): (क) केन्द्रीय सड़क निधि के तहत चालू वित्त वर्ष में अभी तक गुजरात सरकार को कोई धनराशि संस्वीकृत नहीं की गई है। अभी से अगले वित्त वर्ष के लिए किए जाने वाले आबंटन के बारे में बता पाना संभव नहीं है। तथापि, 1994-95 में 239.00 लाख रु. जारी किए गए थे।

(ख) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

बिहार में दूरसंचार सेवाओं में घपला

616. श्री रामदेव भंडारी: क्या संचार मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) क्या बिहार में दूरसंचार केन्द्रों में आई.एस.डी. और एस.टी.डी. सेवाओं के बड़े पैमाने पर दुरुपयोग के कारण घपला हुआ है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है;

(ग) क्या केन्द्रीय जांच ब्यूरो द्वारा इस मामले में कोई जांच की जा रही है; और

(घ) यदि हां, तो इस संबंध में चल रही जांच में हुई प्रगति का ब्यौरा क्या है?

संचार मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री सुखराम): (क) बिहार में दूरसंचार केन्द्रों में आई.एस.डी./एस.टी.डी. सेवाओं का बड़े पैमाने पर कोई दुरुपयोग नहीं हुआ है।

(ख) उपरोक्त (क) को देखते हुए लागू नहीं होता।

(ग) जी नहीं।

(घ) उपरोक्त (ग) को देखते हुए लागू नहीं होता।

Postal Divisions in the Country

617. SHRI RAHASBIHARI BARIK: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to state:

(a) the number of postal divisions set up in the country so far; state-wise;

(b) whether Government have a proposal to set up the postal division in every district; and

(c) if so, the progress made in that direction in Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Orissa, Bihar and West Bengal?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI SUKH RAM): (a) 435. Details are given in statement (*see* below).

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise in view of (b) above.

Statement

Statement to be Laid on the Table on Rajya Sabha Vide Part (a) of Unstarred Question No. 617 to be Answered on 6.3.1996

Sl. No.	Name of the State	No. of Postal Divisions as on 31st July, 1995
1.	Andhra Pradesh	45
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	01
3.	Assam	09
4.	Bihar	27
5.	Goa	01
6.	Gujarat	25
7.	Haryana	09
8.	Himachal Pradesh	07
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	05
10.	Karnataka	31
11.	Kerala	23
12.	Madhya Pradesh	25
13.	Maharashtra	37
14.	Manipur	01
15.	Meghalaya	01
16.	Mizoram	01
17.	Nagaland	01
18	Orissa	18
19.	Punjab	13
20.	Rajasthan	24
21.	Sikkim	01
22.	Tamil Nadu	41
23.	Tripura	02
24.	Uttar Pradesh	51
25.	West Bengal	26

Sl. No.	Name of the Union Territories	No. of Postal Divisions as on 31st July, 1995
1.	Andaman & Nicobar Islands	01
2.	Chandigarh	01
3.	Daman & Diu	—
4.	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	—
5.	Lakshadweep	01
6.	Pondicherry	01
7.	National Capital Territory of Delhi	06
	Total	435

Negotiation with Revolutionary Groups in Manipur, Nagaland

618. SHRI W. KULABINDHU SINGH: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that the RPF (Revolutionary People Front) of Manipur has given warnings to the Ministers and MLAs of Manipur to resign or face death as per news-item which appeared in the 'Statement' dated 7th February, 1996;

(b) the reasons for which only two factors of N.S.C.N. were invited by State Minister of Home Affairs during his visit to Manipur for negotiations last month;

(c) what are reasons that the valley insurgents or militant groups were not invited; and

(d) whether it is a fact that the apprehension of R.P.F. or U.N.L.F. that the Central Government and the Government of Manipur have tacit support for the issue of South Nagaland is correct?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The policy of the Government has been, on the one hand, to deal firmly with those indulging in violent activities and, on the other, to encourage them to join the national mainstream provided they abjure violence and agree to work within the Constitution of India. In pursuance of this policy, Government's offer stands for all, irrespective of any particular group, who may like to join the national mainstream.

(d) No, Sir.

Surrender by the Members of United Liberation front of Assam

619. SHRI TARA CHARAN MAJUMDAR: Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have maintained any record to indicate the total number of members registered with banned organisations of Assam;

(b) if so, the details thereof and if not, the reasons therefor;

(c) whether Government have laid down some criteria to ask the members of banned organisations to surrender;

(d) what is the number of members of banned organisations who have surrendered so far;

(e) whether Government have taken some steps to rehabilitate the surrendered ones; and

(f) if so, the details thereof with amount spent in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI): (a) and (b) Two militant organisations nemely "United Liberation Front of Assam" (ULFA) and "Bodo Security Force" (Bd. S. F.) have been banned by the Government. Since these outfits are underground, their precise membership cannot be specified.

(c) The policy of the Government has been, on the one hand, to deal firmly with those indulging in violent activities and, on the other, to encourage them to join the national mainstream. Within this framework, various Accords and Memoranda of Understanding have been signed with a view to meeting the aspirations of the local people and ethnic groups. Many underground elements have, as a result of the adoption of the above policy and process, come overground and laid down their arms.

(d) As per information made available by the Government of Assam, nearly 5000 ULFA and 2000 Bodo militants have surrendered so far.